

# SACHRP/Responsibility to Current-day Victims of Non-Consensual Experimentation

From **ramolad** <ramolad@hushmail.com>  
To **Julia Gorey** <julia.gorey@hhs.gov>, **jerry.menikoff** <jerry.menikoff@hhs.gov>, **jeffrey.botkin** <jeffrey.botkin@hsc.utah.edu>, **hlynch** <hlynch@law.harvard.edu>, **nmpking** <nmpking@wakehealth.edu>, **pnoessorio** <pnoessorio@wisc.edu>  
Cc **Karla Smith** [REDACTED], **Norman Rabin** [REDACTED], **Cait Ryan** [REDACTED]  
Sent Friday, September 16, 2016 at 1:11 PM  
Forwarded **Yes**  
Encrypted **No**  
Signed **No**  
Attachments Letter to SACHRP-Ramola D.pdf,  
NPRM\_VctmsStakeholdersSummary\_Intelligence\_comments\_Non\_TI\_ONLY\_InterimVersionMay19\_2016.doc,  
NPRM\_VctmsStakeholdersSummary\_Intelligence\_comments\_Victim\_ONLY\_withIndex\_20160907.pdf

Dear Julia Gorey, Jerry Menikoff, Jeffrey Botkin, Holly Fernandez-Lynch, Nancy King, Pilar Ossorio,

I am writing to you today as a writer and independent journalist who has a special interest in the subject of non-consensual human subject experimentation, being conducted today in the USA and worldwide, regarding your work on the SACHRP committee on the Sep 2015-issued NPRM for the Common Rule.

I recently covered this subject, while covering the Public Comment Summary and new public comments provided at the May 18-19 SACHRP meetings, in a documentary article at my blog and media website, *The Everyday Concerned Citizen*, which I do ask that you peruse:

[No Waivers of Informed Consent, PERIOD: The Public Reports Ongoing Non-Consensual Experimentation and Demands the Common Rule Protect Citizens, Not Covert Activities](#)

I am writing to further share my thoughts with you today regarding what I perceive to be SACHRP's responsibility to protect all Americans from non-consensual and inhumane experimentation as you work to newly finalize the Common Rule.

**Enclosures:** I enclose my letter for the committee with this email, but I will also cut-and-paste it below my signature line here, should there be any issues with the file getting through. I also enclose indexed summaries of public comment on the NPRM's proposed Intelligence Surveillance and Criminal Justice exclusions from researchers and activists Karla Smith and Norman Rabin, to support my letter. (I understand they are also writing to you; enclosures from them might represent the most reliable final versions of these very important documents.)

Could you kindly make this email and enclosed letter (as well as other enclosures) available to all members of the SACHRP Committee? I would appreciate it very much.

Those who are being preyed on today by the clandestine community engaging in "classified research" under cover of stated "National Security" quite honestly need the vigilance, consciousness, and courageous action of those who are not. Please engage, with integrity.

Thanks v. much for your attention.

Sincerely,  
Ramola D/Dharmaraj

Author, Activist, Independent Journalist

Publisher, [\*The Everyday Concerned Citizen\*](#)

Editor, [Delphi Quarterly](#)

Quincy, MA 02170

\*\*\*\*\*

Ramola D/9/16/2016

## **Regarding SACHRP's Responsibility in the Matter of Protecting All Human Subjects, Including Those Non-Consensually Rolled Into Classified Research by the DOD/DOJ/Intelligence Agencies: Necessary Protections of the Common Rule**

**To: SACHRP Committee Members, Staff at OHRP**

Dear Julia Gorey, Jeffrey Botkin, Jerry Menikoff, Holly Fernandez-Lynch, Nancy King, Pilar Ossorio, All Members of SACHRP, and Staff at OHRP:

Two years ago I wrote in to OHRP's Kristina Boror and Jerry Menikoff to inform you officially that it appeared I had been non-consensually enrolled in a Military or US Air Force experiment of some kind, judging from the constant presence of small planes, drones, and helicopters in my neighborhood, peculiar vehicular street action in my neighborhood, with concomitant and vivid experience of electromagnetic signals impinging on various parts of my body, to deleterious effect. (The full account of my first awareness that I was suddenly under radiation assault, as well as surveillance, can be [found here, on Washington's Blog.](#))

As the federal Office of Human Research Protections for the Department of Health and Human Services, I sought OHRP's help in addressing and terminating this illegal experimentation—for which I had never given consent, nor been provided any awareness of, and which is so far from being a “minimal-risk” project not requiring of Informed Consent from subjects that its effects of damage and deterioration can more accurately characterize it as death-industry experimentation. I named [a General Dynamics contract with the US Air Force](#) as possibly being or being similar to the contract project in operation in my vicinity.

I was informed at the time that this was not under OHRP's jurisdiction, that I could contact the Military or Air Force to complain, that every Federal and Military body in this country interpreted the Common Rule differently, and really, there was nothing OHRP could do for me, personally, since OHRP was only responsible for research projects conducted through the Department of Health and Human Services.

However, as I was also told, and as all of you are most certainly aware, every body/agency/institution proposing a research project involving humans is required to have a document entitled the Federal Wide Assurance (FWA) filed with OHRP. This Assurance essentially imprints the promise that the Common Rule—in some specialized form, for each agency—is being implemented on the project. By this means, it is perhaps presumed that Informed Consent from subjects is being guaranteed—even if the Principal Investigator on the project is the one standing in for his experimentees and wrongfully affirming Informed Consent on their behalf, as if they were mentally incompetent to speak for themselves, and as if this were being presented as a beneficial project for them, despite their own inability to consent.

I was also told that the need or requirement to file this FWA was across the board, meant also for projects not related to the Department of Health and Human Services, and required also for Military/Air Force projects. Additionally, I was informed that, very often, research projects involving human subjects do not come under external oversight of any kind, and that projects are often vetted purely in-house, by an in-house Institutional Review Board.

Regardless, OHRP was the office responsible for obtaining and storing FWAs--all FWAs in fact, including those emanating from the Military and others where waiver of consent and internal review of projects ruled.

\*

In addition to the above, as noted in the NPRM and made clear at your May 2016 meetings, it is evident from the joint interest of various departments, the military, and the CIA in the creation of the NPRM for the Common Rule--that every single one of these Federal departments and agencies is quite keen to propose/make changes in the Common Rule, so as to finalize a document that would operate eventually in their favor, even if each Agency does currently operate from its own set of regulations, based on the Common Rule, and few follow the Rule as is, itself.

This interest on their part underlines the fact that *the Common Rule is still being seen as the primary underlying document of reference in the case of human subject protections on research projects of any kind—even for the Military, even for Intelligence agencies, and even for the Department of Justice.*

This includes classified research, being conducted in secret, by Intelligence agencies, by the Military, or/and by the Department of Justice.

\*

SACHRP/OHRP/HHS is responsible therefore for the creation of a Common Rule which

1.) is going to be used by all agencies including the Military/Air Force/CIA/Others as the basis from which they create their own deviations if they wish;

2) may be used to further conceal and protect Classified Research, or may be used to open Classified Research to explicit scrutiny.

As such, I believe OHRP and SACHRP and the HHS are fully responsible to the American people in the creation of a new Common Rule document which fully protects Americans and the public welfare *in the majority*, offers true and ethical human subject research protections, and does not permit the Intelligence Community or the Department of Justice or the Department of Defense—as it currently does--to secretly engage in classified research that evades the need for Informed Consent and is predatory, death-dealing, completely inimical to the mission of Human Subject Protections, and completely destructive of the health and well-being of thousands of productive American lives, as well as, by extension, thousands worldwide, subject to the secret predations of secret Intelligence Agency and Defense agreements across borders.

You must be perfectly aware this kind of covert, surreptitious, death-dealing classified research being conducted by various parties in the DoD/Intelligence complex, including the CIA, **is indeed currently**

**ongoing, and on a devastating scale**, both here in the USA and worldwide. Non-consensual experimentees have, on several occasions, entered your meeting rooms and commented publicly (as also at the President's Bioethics Commission meetings) to solicit your interest and help in ending these atrocities; this has been recorded on video and is published online.

Although I myself was unable to comment, by virtue of my emails being tampered with continually so apparently I missed the deadline online, I was able to examine the public comments posted and document the genuine American anxiety about rushing to pass or finalize a new Common Rule which would prove to be even worse for the American public, by seeking essentially to protect the clandestine community and the research community instead. Please see my article here, therefore, covering the May 18-19 SACHRP meeting especially through the lens of Intelligence Surveillance and Criminal Justice exclusions from the Common Rule, highlighting public comments made in person and online on these two subjects, and spelling out why SACHRP and OHRP are indeed responsible in the quest to protect Americans from being secretly exploited and damaged by Intelligence, Military, and Law Enforcement agencies in programs of non-consensual covert neuro-experimentation, covert directed-energy weapons-testing, and covert neuro-crime brain surveillance:

[No Waivers of Informed Consent, PERIOD: The Public Reports Ongoing Non-Consensual Experimentation and Demands the Common Rule Protect Citizens, Not Covert Activities](#)

Supplemental information from researchers and activists Karla Smith and Norman Rabin, offering analyses of public comments on the Intelligence Surveillance and Criminal Justice exclusions from reporting non-consensual experimentees, concerned citizens, and advocacy groups, as summarized in a Victim Stakeholder Summary and Non-Victim Stakeholder Summary, are enclosed with this letter.

\*

I would like to suggest that even One person reporting non-consensual experimentation on his or her body is cause for alarm in a "civilized" society, and today, we have thousands making these reports.

I am writing this letter primarily to request that, as you work together on this NPRM, that you take every public comment on this subject seriously, and act fully in the public interest to excise these proposed Intelligence Surveillance and Criminal Justice exclusions, which, given the high crime ongoing of covert neuro-experimentation and covert DEW-testing that is ongoing, wreaking havoc in our communities, register ultimately as *excessively fraudulent* and yet another move by a predatory clandestine community keen to conceal, through opportunistic classification, what Executive Order 13526 forbids against, "information classified...to conceal violations of law."

**It is a crime against humanity to attack the bodies and brains of people under cover of "research" without Informed Consent. These are actions of extreme abuse, exploitation, and torture which the clandestine community is able to get away with currently only through the protections and camouflage of unjust and pernicious law, as well as loopholes in requirements for Informed Consent—written into an already expedient Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), with its variable interpretations, by department.**

As members of SACHRP, you have each of you listened as members of the public approached the podium and informed you, in meeting after meeting, of non-consensual human experimentation that is ongoing in America today. You cannot undo these moments. You cannot go back to a state of obliviousness, ignorance, or innocence about the crimes you have heard reported. Your consciousness bears the mark of the testimony rendered at your meetings and in comments online. I ask you to honor

your consciousness, and honor these testaments to this most horrific and pernicious platter of crimes that is currently ongoing.

*There is no mandate given to any "democratic" government to experiment on members of the public or, in fact, to commit any crime of any type. That is the case whether such evil things be done openly or hidden by the veil of bogus National Security law.*

**- Paul Baird**

*Not to speak is to speak. Not to act is to act."--**Dieter Bonhoeffer***

*"Politically speaking, it is that, under conditions of terror, most people will comply, but some people will not....Humanly speaking, no more is required, and no more can reasonably be asked, for this planet to remain a place fit for human habitation." **Hannah Arendt**, speaking of those few who will resist collaboration with oppression.*

I ask that you kindly pass this letter on to all SACHRP Committee Members. Please do your duty as ethical Americans and act positively to end this pernicious predation of Americans and citizens worldwide, by a predatory clandestine Intelligence and Defense community. Despite their continual deception in bringing up "National Security" and "Public Welfare" and "Beneficence," even in the language of the NPRM, the hard cold truth is: classified research without Informed Consent permits abuse, and I can assure you as a writer, researcher, journalist, activist, and unfortunate victim myself, since November 2013, that massive abuse, gross violations of human rights, and secretive radiation-weapon and neuro-weapon torture is indeed currently ongoing. It is a shame to us as a nation and it is a deadly mark of shame against the entire Intelligence and military community. It is up to ethical citizens and humans with conscience to step forward and bring this extreme exploitation and abuse under false cover of "National Security" to an absolute end.

I wish to stress: Neither the Intelligence agencies, the Department of Defense, nor the Department of Justice should be permitted any exclusion whatsoever from the necessary protections of the Common Rule for the average citizen; Informed Consent should be held sacrosanct, in all cases, for all agencies. You are in a position currently of acting as gatekeepers to the Common Rule, please act with responsibility.

Please feel free to contact me for further information at any time. I may be reached at [ramolad@hushmail.com](mailto:ramolad@hushmail.com), and at [REDACTED].

In the hope that you will stand up for humanity, and not the active deceptions of those who hide wilfully behind verbiage of "National Security," while perpetrating great crime,

Sincerely,

Ramola D

Author, Activist, Independent Journalist

Publisher, [The Everyday Concerned Citizen](#)

Editor, [Delphi Quarterly](#)

[REDACTED] Quincy, MA 02170

Sent using Hushmail