DIRECTED-ENERGY WEAPONS (DEWs) TESTING or DIRECTED-ENERGY BIO-BEHAVIORAL (DEBR) RESEARCH INTO HUMAN BIO-EFFECTS USING HUMAN SUBJECTS

Legality of DEWs Testing/DEBR Research of Human Bio-Effects Using Human Subjects:

1) Please cite the the public law and/or Department of Defense Directives for the specific injunction or allowance that legally permits any contractor with the US Military or US Airforce--and specifically, your company, as contract-awardee on this USAF Contract--to freely experiment and test directed-energy weapons or any kind of weapons on American civilians, whether wittingly or unwittingly, voluntarily or involuntarily, overtly or covertly.

Methodology of DEWs Testing/DEBR Research on Human Subjects Being Conducted Under This Contract

2) a) What exactly is the methodology, field, and scope of operation of this contract?
b) How exactly is research on human subjects on this contract being conducted?
c) What exactly is field research and what is laboratory research, and how is either kind conducted?
d) What specifically does research on human subjects under this contract entail?
e) What Directed-Energy weapons' bio-effects are being researched under this contract?
f) Given that Directed-Energy weapons developed for use in Electronic Warfare involve:

1. The continous directional beaming of damaging electromagnetic radiation of different kinds, including Radio Waves, High-Powered Microwaves, Extremely Low Frequencies (ELF), and others, on targeted subjects;
2. As well as the continuous use of damaging Radar, ELF, Remote Neural Monitoring (RNM) radiation technologies, and other radiation technologies targeting specific brainprints, organ frequencies, bone resonances, or DNA-signatures, in the identifying, tracking, and locating or “acquisition” of the targeted human subject;
3. As well as the invasive and damaging implantation and use of Radio Frequency Identifying Devices or microchips, wires, and other electronics for monitoring and delivery of electric signals, during routine or non-routine surgeries, in the bodies of targeted human subjects, again for tracking and “target acquisition”;
4. As well as the continuous discharge of damaging electromagnetic radiation on the body and person of the targeted human subject;
5. As well as the subjection of human subjects to remote EEGs on their brains to harvest thought waveforms, examine mental states, and regularly procure, store, experiment on, and otherwise use their private intellectual property (their brainwaves) for EEG Cloning or EEG Heterodyning purposes, whether via Artificial Intelligence, cybernetics, or supercomputing programs--*what is the quality of Informed Consent obtained from the human subjects used in this research, under this contract?*

Informed Consent Protections: Information Provided to Targeted Human Subjects--Who Gives Consent, is this Genuine Informed Consent, how are human subjects acquired?

Specifically:

1) What information prior to consent to human experimentation is provided to human subjects?
2) Who gives consent on this contract--is it the actual human subjects involved, or is it an

arbitrary party such as the Principal Investigator or other company employee or a private or Defense or government medical practitioner giving consent *for* the human subjects, without the human subjects themselves being informed they are the targets of DEBR research? Or does the Principal Investigator/other arbitrary party claim he or she is fully competent and qualified to give consent for any stratum of these human subjects? Or are the human subjects deemed as "mentally incompetent" to give consent, and if so, why?

a) In cases such as the latter, if indeed the Principal Investigator/other arbitrary party claims to give consent for human subjects, if an individual subject wishes to call to fully terminate their use in the project, how do they go about it--what procedure exists, and do the human subjects know about the existence of such a procedure, if they never gave their consent to be used on this project in the first place?

b) Again, in such cases, where human subjects are taken from covert COINTELPRO lists and do not personally give consent but are being clandestinely enrolled by Intelligence agencies into DEBR research such as on this contract, what protection does the human subject have--how does the Belmont Report or the Common Rule apply in their case?--and how can they call to terminate their use in this contract?

c) Again, in such cases, are human subjects who do not themselves give consent being implanted with RFID chips without their consent or knowledge, being targeted and "acquired" without their consent and knowledge, and being irradiated without their consent and knowledge? If so, how are they being protected at all by the Belmont Report or the Common Rule?

3) Who are these human subjects--what subsection of the populace are they taken from, do they constitute vulnerable populations such as the mentally or physically disabled, those on Disability or Welfare programs, those in public housing complexes, those in Foster Care programs, minorities, the elderly, the pregnant?

4) How are human subjects for field or laboratory research acquired?

5) Has full and complete information regarding the dangers, damages, and inherent risks--including the development of physiological and biological stresses, disease, including cancers of various kinds--associated with the use of these specific directed-energy radiation weapons on their person been provided to every single human subject?

6) Has full and complete information regarding the methodology, scope, and duration of this research been provided to every single human subject?

7) Has True Informed Consent thereby been obtained from each and every proposed human "subject" or participant in these experiments?

Informed Consent Human Subject Protections: Accessibility of Human Subjects

Specifically:

1. Does a list of names exist, which lists every single human subject involved in these testing experiments?
2. Can every such human subject therefore easily access or be accessed by any ethical, overseeing, or regulating body on this contract? What is the nature of this access? Can any human subject openly communicate their distress at any time with any aspect of this research to a specific ethical, overseeing, or regulating personage on this contract?
3. Can human subjects at any time terminate their participation in such weapons testing research?

4. What other protections exist for human subjects on this contract?
5. Can your company produce all human subjects before the Office of Human Subject Research Protections or a Congressional Committee or a court to attest to the veracity of consent and duration and other procedures, as you state it?

Informed Consent Human Subject Protections: Oversight and Compliance

Specifically:

1) What ethical and managerial oversight, supervision, and regulation exists to protect human subjects, whether in the laboratory or in the field?
2) Who determines whether a human subject should be enrolled, disenrolled, or terminated?
3) What procedure exists for human subjects to communicate with program managers regarding aspects of their use?
4) What health and safety procedures exist for human subjects?
5) What protocol exists for tracking unintended damages to human subjects?
6) What protocol exists for ameliorating damages, intended or unintended to human subjects?
7) How often do subjects avail of such protocols and provisions for amelioration of damages?
8) What kind of regular health care is provided to human subjects?
9) How is Common Rule/Belmont Report compliance monitored?

Informed Consent Human Subject Protections: Stealing of Informed Consent

Specifically:

1) In cases where an arbitrary third party such as the Principal Investigator or an external party such as an Intelligence agency enrolls human subjects, can it be stated that: some individuals used in weapons testing research have been denied Informed Consent to be used in such research?
2) Has Informed Consent therefore been "stolen" or falsely associated with their names and persons?
3) Have HIPAA forms and medical records of some individuals been misappropriated, stolen, and otherwise illegitimately obtained for the use of these individuals as human subjects on this contract, whether directly or through the intervening actions of Intelligence agencies, possibly under provisions of the Patriot Act, NDAA, Executive Order 12333, or via FISA/NSA clearances?
4) In cases such as the above, where non-consenting individuals suspect they are being used as targets in DEBR research, possibly under this contract, what is the best recourse for them to 1) gain the kind of protections afforded by the Belmont Report and the Common Rule, which your FWA purports to follow, and 2) terminate your use of them in your research?

***