

Different Dosages at Different Times

by Craig Paardekooper

Some members have suggested that the toxic batches are due to pharma trying out different dosages upon the public. I thought this idea was worthy of investigation, so I did a brief study.

The batches are listed in VAERS in the order in which they were released. I counted the number of adverse reactions for each batch, and present this as the y-axis on a chart that also orders the batches by the time sequence in which they were released (x-axis).

I was looking for any pattern in the variation of toxicity over time.

The resulting chart is shown below.

It looks as if a dosage was given with the 1500th batch that generated 2000 x the toxicity of previous batches.

There then is a period of cessation until the 3000th batch, when batches with a toxicity of upto 2000 x are regularly interspersed with harmless batches.

Around about the 4500th batch they start using batches of higher toxicity – up to 4000 x, and cut back on batches in the region of 500-1500 x toxicity.

About the 11,000th batch the toxicity is reduced to 0-500 x

Then around the 12,000th batch, 7 batches are released all in the 1000x - 2000x range - followed soon after by an abrupt change back to the 0-500x range.

There then follows a complete cessation of toxic batches from the 13,000th batch until the 16,000th batch.

During this time they were probably analysing the results.

Then from the 16,000th batch until the 21,000th batch they completely stop batches with a toxicity in the 100-1000x range.

Instead they initially push the toxicity into the 1000-3000x range.

Then around the 18,000th batch they only have batches in the 2000x -3000x toxicity range.

Then they step down the toxicity in 3 steps.

At the 19300th batch they drop the toxicity to 1500-2500x

Then at the 20,000th batch they drop the toxicity to 1000-2000x

Then at the 21,000th batch they drop the toxicity to 0-1500x


It looks as if they stopped using the toxic batches around 21882nd batch until present (28330th batch).

They may of-course be planning to resume. They now have an idea of the effects of different dosages/toxicities.

They are collating.

The systematic steps displayed in the chart, lend some credence to the idea that Pharma is adjusting the dosages with the entire population, and observing the effects. This is evidenced by abrupt introduction of toxic batches within defined ranges, and also by their abrupt cessation for long periods. And it is also evidenced by the step-wise changes in toxicity.

This is my interpretation of the distribution of the data. Your comments are welcome.



Additional Notes

I combined the USA data for Moderna, Pfizer, Janssen, so the unified movement between dosage ranges suggests that all these companies are acting in step.

If this is about depopulation, then the current cessation of toxic batches is likely the still before the storm.

The worst batch certainly reduced and damaged the health of most of the recipients, yet it killed only 52 people (recorded) - and disabled 49 (recorded).

As a depopulation strategy this seems messy and partial - unless the long-term effects of the vaxes are programmed fatality - such as a progressively weaker immune system.

In addition to this, the vaxed may be set up to respond to a trigger - such as EM radiation - given their propensity to magnetize cutlery.

If they are simply gathering data, then why their obsession with injecting everyone? Most data gathering only requires a sample of the population - not the entire population and every child !!

My question is - why would they need to know the lethal dosage? Presumably, all those receiving a harmless dosage are protected - so why their interest in pushing the dosage so

high?

Instead of using the ordinal sequence of the batches, if I put in the exact dates then an even clearer pattern might appear.

If you closely monitor VAERS then you could check before hand the number of adverse reactions for that batch. If it was high then you would know to stay away... I don't know how possible it is to get batch numbers before consenting though.

It looks as if they are loading people with something but not killing them - whilst trying to remain discrete (hence only 1 in 200 batches has a toxicity of over 1000) . I don't think killing is the current goal, since the number of deaths within these toxic ranges is not substantial.

What they appear to be doing is looking for the maximum load that people can carry. It looks like initially they trialled up to 2000. Then in the second phase they stepped it up decisively to 3000, then 2000 then 1000.