Crown

Parliament Court of Appeal High Court High Court The People + Honourable Parliamentarians v Corrupt Officers
Citizens v Media + State + Politicians
Citizen v Organised Crime + State
Parliamentary Candidates v Director of Public Prosecutions

Mass Remedies Fraud Appeals 2019 0563 + 2700 Fraud Claims QB 2019 003741 + 003984 Parliament Session File Arrangements QB 2019

Remedy Process + Office Unfitness Cases + Parliament Session File

3<sup>rd</sup> December 2019

From: Equity Lawyer Mr Ellis To: All Chingford Parliamentary Candidates

Dear Mr Duncan-Smith,

Politically Neutral Issue: The Parliament Session File

Problem: Compromised Filing Arrangements for the Parliament Session File

Remedy Proposal Joint Action by Parliamentary Candidates for Reliable Arrangements

Privilege Offer Remedy Co-operation First Refusal Opportunity for the Chingford Candidates

The Director of Public Prosecutions keeps a Parliament Session File for the Crown and Prime Minister. It eliminates Evidence Uncertainty if they use the same file. It is an efficient way to manage Evidence Disclosure to the Prime Minister of Case Papers used by Lord Bishops to decide the Corruption Remedy Decisions for the Parliament Session.

Since December 2011 the Sussex Crown Prosecutor has acted as Receiving Agent for the Parliament Session File. I knew about a plan for the Sussex Crown Prosecutor to vacate the Hove Office. I did not know when or the move was to the Police Station at Brighton. On Friday 29<sup>th</sup> November 2019 I arrived to find the move in progress. Crown Prosecutors agreed that I attend on Wednesday 4<sup>th</sup> December 2019 for the next Filing Event. The Filing Set includes Election Fraud Proof got before and during the Election Campaign Period.

It should not matter that Sussex Police control the building. It might matter. I suggest we continue using the Sussex Crown Prosecutor and make other arrangements in case they are needed.

The Remedy Process got Elected Police and Crime Commissioners. The first elections were in November 2011. Cheshire Police controlled the building that contained the office of the Cheshire Police and Crime Commissioner. The Early Integrity Tests got Stamp Filing Proof on Case Papers from the Police Commissioners. The Late Integrity Tests discovered Cheshire Police used Access Denial Frauds to prevent Filing Events. Also, they used Influence Frauds that got a Filing Refusal by the officers of the North Wales Police and Crimes Commissioner, and Perjury + Forgery Proof against the North Wales Commissioner.

Top Police and Top Judges had a Drug Crime Business in Sussex because the water was good for it. Top Judges insisted their Organised Crime Manager control the business because they did not trust anyone else to pay them. The Organised Criminal and Police Officers used a Firearms Theft + Firearms Theft Concealment Frauds to get Protection Frauds. The Firearms Protection Fraud Proof Set has been used thousands of times to discover who provides Protection Frauds.

The Voters will appreciate Remedy Co-operation by Parliamentary Candidates. All it needs is a Remedy Claim + Urgent Remedy Application in the High Court Queen's Bench Division by Chingford Candidates against the Director of Public Prosecutions for Reliable Filing Arrangements that get Citizen Papers on the Parliament Session File. QC Mr Keith Vaz serviced the Corruption Remedy Process as a Parliamentary Committee Chairman. He is a Credible Representative. Please give him Representation Rights First Refusal