

**Written Questions/Topics for Kristen Conklin for Oct 15 podcast,  
[Report #152: Kristen Conklin on Neuroweaponry, Neuroethics, Human Rights, Transhumanism](#) from Ramola D, also Elizabeth Coady  
(Journalist) and Tiffany Fontenot (Reverse Speech Analyst)**

1. Kristen tell us more of who you are, what your background is, what your projects in the world of neurotechnology are today—how did you as an electrical engineer get involved in this field? Do you work for DARPA or any other DOD or government division? What is your schooling background and what are your areas of expertise?

My website <https://talkspectrum.com> has links to all of my social media accounts (excluding IG). My resume is viewable through the link on the site for linkedin.

2. Now I understand you are interested in the subject of human rights and ethics in relation to neuroexperimentation – you are aware of the reports of those targeted or targeted individuals who are reporting nonconsensual neuroexperimentation and assault with energy weapons. (Optional): Are you yourself reporting targeting and what can you tell us about your own experience?

I request all report on <https://www.surveillancesurvivors.org/networking.html>. I share this site nearly everyday. All of those who want the intelligence and scientific communities to know that they are a victim of a neuroweapon assault please put your name on the networking page.

Do you mean those in intelligence/science who do not know/are not participant in these experiments on Tis?

I tell anyone who will listen about the database on this site. I typically target people to tell who have resumes on linkedin that I suspect can help the TI community of victims.

3. Topics that wd. be great to cover:
  - Informed Consent in all neuro experimentation or any kind of research: how

today it is not being honored—as Tis inform us.

- Neuroweaponry as exposed by Dr. Giordano: He talks about neurotechnology being used for malign, warring purposes as well as criminal justice purposes with great certainty as if there is no choice, humans have no choice, these weapons are here and the public cannot change that—your comment?

I think it's important to guide others to Giordano's document about his personal choices for consent to neurotechnology.

[http://nuffieldbioethics.org/wp-content/uploads/novel\\_neurotechnologies\\_consultation\\_JamesGiordano.pdf?fbclid=IwAR00TnjW7GekIYCux70ITXO3vyZxBZo-w4iTKwkTYQxwSqmqlH\\_pCk9naI](http://nuffieldbioethics.org/wp-content/uploads/novel_neurotechnologies_consultation_JamesGiordano.pdf?fbclid=IwAR00TnjW7GekIYCux70ITXO3vyZxBZo-w4iTKwkTYQxwSqmqlH_pCk9naI)

4) Do you think we should be approaching this subject that way, or differently?

1. I suspect this is a cold war and the US is playing the game of war  
<https://www.cia.gov/library/readingroom/docs/CIA-RDP80R01731R001700030015-9.pdf?fbclid=IwAR3n5alAnS-IaX5CUR5W1onxm-UmdQICZyzPcWmSyXRwwYH6Mg5IBUKYNKc>
2. I have pulled many documents about psychiatric warfare and psychological warfare out of the CIA library to try to understand the complexity of evil. My gut feeling is to state that honesty is best.

5) The Big question of Neuro Privacy – where do you come down on that?

1. I agree with Dr Ienca and Dr Andnoro.  
<https://talkspectrum.com/neuro-specific-bill-program/>
2. I will provide technical reasons from an EE's perspective.

6) This notion of studying “select Targeted individuals” – particularly influencers and thought leaders – never mind what happens during those studies—which TIs

tell us is torture; how ethical is that, do you think (Giordano has stated DOD is doing this), and what shd DOD be told from a humanity-focused, HR point of view?

1. This is more than a notion but an effort declassified by the CIA.  
<https://www.cia.gov/library/readingroom/docs/CIA-RDP80R01731R001700030015-9.pdf?fbclid=IwAR3n5alAnS-IaX5CUR5W1onxm-UmdQICZyzPcWmSyXRwwYH6Mg5IBUKYNKc>
2. Giordano knows about the group through me. Personally I went out on a limb and stated I was a TI. After I stated this to him in an email he has continued to work with me. He also mentions our group publicly:  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ztbw0IOjVhs&fbclid=IwAR1kFkdiSNOFfEmTIFnMmmPrBF08Qc1V-TrzdVrpCxputQS2LSYcgUEGzs>
3. Giordano suspects a level of infiltration, but we didn't discuss this. I suspect I could have pryed.. Merely he asked me if I thought this was the US government's doing. My response was I don't know who is responsible. He then continued to state that maybe there was a level of infiltration and we left the topic. However, I have recently co-authored a paper for TAFDDs that states the US government is at fault for allowing this state of torture.

7) Combo question from Elizabeth Coady and myself: Whose brains are neuroscientists like Sandberg and Giordano using? Why are they using (as targets tell us) Trauma Based Mind Control—high stimulation, stress attacks, DEW attacks, isolation (**classic CIA torture**), and then neural mapping these brains—essentially destroying these people's lives? Why are Tis being subjected to skits, scenarios, memory-prompts, street theater—how does this help with neural mapping?

1. Can you please provide an example of what project Giordano is associated with and I can ask him about it. He stated I could ask him any questions

about a government project and submit for clearance to discuss the issue. I might need as much time as a year to get clearance or as little as a few months.

8) Non Lethal weapons or neuroweapons—the electrical aspect, particularly with Conducted Electrical Weapons: you have mentioned they can be used for torture, which is what targets report—what can you tell us about the development of these weapons, why were they developed if engineers know they can be used for torture?

There is a large subset of engineers who do not know that the physics they studied in school are used for torture. Giordano has presented a suggestion to have a neuroweapons class made available through the IEEE for continuing education. In fact he is a chair of one of their branches. In 2018, I called hundreds of engineers to get a feel for who knew what and where I stood in the scope of knowledge of this problem. I spent a little less than half a year on this effort. The place in society where I have found the greatest competence on this issue is the USTP.

9) Combo question from Elizabeth Coady, Tiffany Fontenot, myself: Why torture people instead of asking for volunteers—why develop neuroweapons on backs of tortured and dead people—how does this justify the end result? (Implication from Dr. Flores that neuroweapons have been created only through non-consensual experimentation) Children are being hit and tortured—how can this be justifiable, what are your thoughts on this?

1. Can we please gather questions that I can submit to Giordano for clearance to speak about? Please keep in mind I'd like to have a respectable platform for him to make a presentation on. The first conference I did with him I learned that the founder was associated with an audience of 80,000 viewers. The second platform that I asked him to work with me on might have an infinite amount. My offer was to speak about government projects and not

personal questions. Please keep in mind I'd like to use my discretion to decide if I ask him or not. The weapon pressures me at times and I can't make decisions in the most objective manner.

2. For example DARPA's RadioBio. The goal is to discover more ways that biology uses electromagnetism. My question is when a discovery is made, obviously there is a new weapon scheme constructed. Since we are supposed to have the right to bear arms, why can't we purchase at least a fraction of this technology or an appropriate protection scheme. I'd like an answer of how current practices align with the Constitution.

<https://www.facebook.com/kristen.conklin.7/posts/10205854658042593>

10) You said you were going to be working with Giordano and interviewing hm, et.—can you describe what kind of work you will be doing, what are the goals, and if there is room for others to work with you or is it a private project?

1. The first project was an interview for the cybersecurity community. I asked questions based upon the statistics I gained on social media as to what was important to my friends. The list of questions came from an associate. The questions were pre approved.
  2. The second project is currently in a test state. I've been asked to coordinate conferences around the US. The scope of the project I've actually asked my local congressman's team to help with. I don't feel it's appropriate for me to handle alone. I want the most accelerated path to freedom and can't achieve this on my own.
11. Combo question from **Tiffany Fontenot, Reverse Speech Analyst and myself: Tiffany:** Our RS expresses truth much like our system of body language; one of the reversals of Giordano was, "I aid the eye of the evil." – Your comment? **Rd:** Do you feel a self-identifying neuroweapons creator can be a neuroethicist—and what do you think will boundary (inevitably put

walls around) his ethics?

1. I don't know the capacity of his projects. Unfortunately he's published so extensively on the topic I can't possibly consume all 40 years of his journey. I have no idea if he knew what he was creating at the time. I think if we were to ask him what a winning strategy for ethics would be he'd state the HBP subproject 12. I have a petition to support his effort.

<https://talkspectrum.com/neuroscience-dual-use-program/>

- 2) **Tiffany Fontenot:** I want to know what she thinks will happen after biology (thanks to cyborging) has become unviable and spirit has lost the ability to incarnate within a body. What then?

1. This is interesting. This is more subjective. I personally don't have religious beliefs this is due to the relationship I've been forced into with the neuroweapon's AI. The CIA library does have many publications on what consciousness is. I put in a little time in comparison to other efforts with respect to consciousness and I have only found one document that I understand. The CIA has publications on what they empirically define as consciousness in subatomic experiments.

2. Specifically there are documents relating to reincarnation through hypnosis. I suspect The CIA has more efforts declassified that would interest you.  
CIA-RDP96-00788R001300010001-7

- 3) **Tiffany:** What happens to the human SPIRIT who came here to have its own individual experience in the physical and who can do all this stuff already from where we exist outside of time. Why come here to do the same except to be bossed around by satanic misguided fuck ups? (Bad guys in military running these ops.) **Rd:** Do you believe we have a spirit that is outside and beyond our human bodies—that lives inside us, that lives after death, etc—part of spiritual/religious traditional paradigm?

1. The CIA library has publications about engineered reality with weapons. The publications talk about how religious practices have been associated with psychological warfare for about 2500 years.

<https://www.facebook.com/kristen.conklin.7/posts/10206118651962276>

Based upon this scheme I'm too afraid to associate with any religion. Personally if there is a life after this one I hope the bad guys aren't around and there is truly no hell like environment.

I do believe there is potentially a location that we can make an impression with the good or bad forces that we produce in our nervous system. I don't give this much thought. I typically ask to be excused from conversation that I don't have data to back up statements with. I just want absolute human rights for all now. Nothing else is attractive.

2. The USTP has had conversations about religions and not forcing others to accept their efforts. It's respectable separation of church and state from what I've heard.

- 4) **Tiffany:** Ask how they are reverse engineering Alzheimers & Parkinson's and other neurological diseases. Ask about toxins.

1. These two disorders are in the DSM. Therefore they have no evidence of existing and fall into a category like religion. I follow the author of the DSM3 and DSM4 who talks about the predatory mindset of a subset of the doctors who diagnose from that manual. I won't support predators and their theories.

<https://www.facebook.com/kristen.conklin.7/posts/10206267043591974>

12. You have endorsed transhumanism – what is it you endorse exactly and why?

1. I like transhumanism because the leaders realize the corruption in the patent offices to support corporations and not individuals.
2. Their leaders also recognize the predators in the industry of healthcare.
3. I have discovered the HH Neuron Model and think it can win us human rights to some measure or at least belittle the element of surprise of a neuroweapons assault.
4. All but one person in the USTP has not given me a platform to speak about neuroweapons on.

13) How does this invoke issues of ethics and human sovereignty? Do or don't you think (and why/why not) people should be able to choose their own thoughts, plans, futures—wouldn't transhumanism (cyborging/nanotech in brain/BCIs/augmented brains) permit external control?

1. I'd personally like to have full transparency on the systems designed that are intended to promote world peace and absolute human rights. I've said this in a podcast with the USTP's representative in the 2020 US presidential elections. Johannon Ben Zion publicly agreed transparency on the level I requested would be the goal. I know I'd like to design a system to save us and Duncan has personally expressed interest in working for Neuralink or equal. <https://talkspectrum.com/podcast/> Zion, Duncan, and I plan to go on Steele Archer's podcast Debt Nation in November. Duncan and I think the party offers hope.

B. The USTP is accepting members. Ethics and representation so far has been decided in a democratic manner.

14) Do you think those who want to be transhumanist should go off and do their own thing by themselves – or that they should transform everyone else, willy nilly? (And why if so?)

1. I'd certainly like for everyone to have their own way on their own land in their own country. There are a lot of attractive things about the USTP. Their

website is quite good and the leaders are accessible as well as others in the community.

2. Zion, Harris, and Steele are currently trying to work out something for Ella Free's podcast.
3. Harris should be in V's archives and should be on his show from 10-11pm CST from October 14-18th 2019. TIs are encouraged to call.
4. Basically I'd do anything to have a body that wasn't capable of being harmed by biological weapons. I'm trying to learn if the USTP can offer a body of that kind. I personally think I could design one if I could live in peace and wouldn't have to die by approximately age 70.
5. The USTP isn't planning on forcing their products on people.

7) Do you feel AI and Humanity 2.0 are inevitable? What if people oppose this (as many do)--what options do they have, who do they tell?

1. As you know there is already an AI so evil that many humans can't comprehend its existence. We already know the fate.
2. I suspect the options are to stay in our biological form or embrace something that doesn't experience pain in this capacity.
3. The current medical system has 50% of teens rearranging their neuroanatomy currently mostly without informed consent. It appears as though the transformation is happening through the DSM in a manner that most will allow to happen without seeing a red flag. I'd like to do a podcast about the HH Neuron Model and how Psychiatry influences neuroanatomy. I've been invited to the Debt Nation podcast at anytime and plan to work there, but I'd love to come back to Ramola D if she approves the content for her show.

8) What do you see as the benefits of transhumanism?

1. As an EE the HH Neuron Model is invaluable. I'd do anything to have a body that wasn't forced to be a slave to biological weapons and sickness. Humans don't seem to be designed with a maximum capacity to experience

pain. I have a maximum capacity for pleasure, but the capacity to hurt is infinite. I want out of this situation and I'm willing to explore options.

2. Regarding the situation I'm forced into now with a government controlled income the USTP wants to offer a UBI. This income is nearly triple what I receive now. I thought growing up I would only like to live in a government that supports capitalism. However, there are predators there just like there are in government. I suspect there needs to be a balance of some kind. The party is developing their opinions as to what is best in terms of balance. Anyone is free to join and listen. I enjoy the party's transparency at this time.
3. I want scientists as leaders and not people in business. I'd rather people earn intelligence instead of a hand me down family legacy of politics that seem to award their children with privilege.
4. They want patent house reform in such a way that would enable people like us to have patents.

9) Bioinformatics, algorithms, bioresonance frequency weapons, Epstein funding neuro research off the books.. Epstein connections with Gates, MIT, Harvard and brain research.

1. Epstein seems to be researched with great effort by a website called <https://wanttoknow.info>. I emailed them about Epstein for a friend and they have already put in a great deal of work. I haven't investigated myself yet. I've been busy wanting to contribute to my goals for freedom.

10) What do you see unfolding in this field over the next 10 years? Will TIs be freed, will neuroscientists be constrained to become ethical, will the human public rise and make a noise about human neuro privacy, cognitive liberty, autonomy, etc? Can we stop neuro surveillance?

1. I see no escape from the burden of wanting to end personal pain and suffering for all biology. The USTP has proposals. However, the structure of society currently prohibits individuals from contributing and puts us in a position to be subjects of establishments. I ask all to follow the HBP because that's what I've invested my time in. Giordano is the task leader for Subproject 12. I've taken statistics on my personal social media profiles and he seems to be a leader who is apparently respected by all social structures. Ienca is also. I truly will find who my friends accept as a leader and promote their efforts. I'll follow the guidance I receive through statistics and present a case for human rights according to the most well received posts.
2. I spend a great deal of time in the CIA library making sure I speak about the government in a way that it has chosen to declassify itself. I wonder what the TI story will look like when it is declassified.
3. Can surveillance be stopped? I have formed an opinion based upon Ella Free's interview with Bill Binney and Kirk Wiebe.  
<https://www.facebook.com/kristen.conklin.7/posts/10206301639816858>  
I have learned about the effort constructed by the sellouts in government and don't know how this can be stopped. If I had a body that was designed to my preferences I could encrypt the forces in my mind in such a manner that I couldn't be spied on in an infinite capacity.

11) What should all of humanity/listening public be doing today to learn more about all this and contribute to this public conversation—how can we influence the government/military/DOJ in these matters?

1. I'd love for public schools to learn about the HH cell model. This model can help people understand the relationship between a nerve, a computer, and an antenna. These relationships can be appreciated by hand calculations or computer software. Possibly a custom app can be made. Ansys offers this capability.

2. One only needs fractions of the following four classes:
  1. Algebra
  2. Trigonometry
  3. Physics 1
  4. Circuits 1
  
3. As far as influencing the DoD and equal I suspect we have to follow the paths provided of what we have left of our democracy. Giordano has mentioned a group that was formed by Clinton I believe to support victims of human experimentation. This was included as a question in the interview I did with him.
  
4. One of the big name groups who creates the standards for what is considered safe is called the ICNIRP. They are basically nothing more than a cartel. We didn't elect these people and they set the terms according to what quantity all biology is allowed to suffer from EMF. Once their standards change engineers will begin to take things more seriously. My associate Joel's site has the evolution of what these standards societies call right and wrong on his site. **Saferemr.com**
  
5. I've also spoken to Giordano about writing a neuroweapons curriculum. I am currently making efforts to present an attractive opportunity for him.

**KRISTEN HAS ALSO PROVIDED THIS LISTING OF HER TOPICS OF INTEREST, NEWS, ACCOMPLISHMENTS:**

**Highlights of topics:**

1. Current projects to support the community:
  1. Cybersecurity community virtual summit - Cybercrime Today Virtual Summit. This is the first effort I did with Giordano. I interviewed him. The topics discussed are:

1. Description of psychotronics and other mind control applications and technologies, including the history and background.
2. Current evidence for the technologies
3. Case studies (as an example Cuba & China)
4. Ethics and regulations
5. Known military scientific studies, ie DARPA's N3 Program (Next-Generation Nonsurgical Neurotechnology)
6. The future of this issue, recommendations and public involvement
7. Deterrents for the current illegal and unethical Top Secret Special Access Program (TS/SAPs). How to address accountability

2. I have a conference I funded in Rome in Feb 2020 where Giordano has agreed to speak. This will hopefully lead to more events with all three parties. This event is a test case. Link to abstract:

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=1VT8NzsaEbLIepb7dDA9PXSabiWYbezv8>

3. I have reached out to a presidential candidate in the 2020 elections Johannon Ben Zion about our group. I have learned that the USTP has already invested efforts into our community.

<https://transhumanist-party.org/>

4. Contributing Editor for TAFFDs magazine. I'd like to invite the strongest activists in our community to submit papers.

<https://taffds.org/the-taffds-magazine-2019-call-for-papers/>

5. I have hopes that my gained credentials through the TAFFDs effort will allow me to apply for EMF scientists and their appeal to the UN.

<https://www.emfscientist.org/index.php/emf-scientist-appeal>

6. Currently I'm waiting on a response back from the Deputy Chief of mental health for Congressman Mike Bilirakis. I hope they can help me gain speaking opportunities.

7. I have a list of associates outside of the TI community who want to support us. Some names I'll mention again.

Steele Archer - Debt Nation

Mr Kalu - Founder TAFFDs

USTP - Harris and Ben Zion

Cybercrime Today and linkinAI


The diplomat's doctors are looking to make reforms to assist us

I have a few who wish to remain nameless

I have about 10 associates in the intelligence community who want to end neuroweapon assaults also

2. My work with the USTP, TAFFDs, and the EMF scientists is based upon the following education effort:

Non-thermal operation of a neuron with the HH Neuron Model. This does not describe thermal operation, but there are efforts to do so with this model.



Resistance in an antenna relates to the neuron model above

Capacitance in an antenna relates to the neuron model above

The aim through every effort is to make a convincing argument that the neuron has properties like an antenna and a computer. This is a developing assignment I've given myself.

There are also mathematical equations that relate this model to loosely to thermal effects. I've researched this briefly. The empirical experiments do not have a mathematical thermal model that is exact - however my research is insufficient. Reference wikipedia

[http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/60495/1/WRAP\\_computation-02-00047.pdf](http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/60495/1/WRAP_computation-02-00047.pdf)

3. I also support the petitions I have on my site talkspectrum.com through all of these efforts under the programs menu.

A. Giordano's efforts that I direct everyone to is found all over my site - HBP Subproject 12.

B. Curtis Bell's - neuroscientist pledge.

C. Dr Ienca - Human rights awareness. He is aware of my petition that supports his paper.

D. Sandra Daroy's - movie.

E. Protection scheme petition.

4. Goals I need assistance with:

A. I hope to apply and join EMF scientists in an effort to appeal to the UN. To do this I need to be published by a peer reviewed effort. If anyone knows of a call for papers please contact me. The papers I write will be based on the HH cell model and the empirical content that I share. Basically the papers can serve for notes for the podcasts that I do.

<https://www.emfscientist.org/index.php/emf-scientist-appeal>

B. I'd like to get my associates on TruthStream Media or equal. I don't know how to accomplish this.